

LOCAL MATTERS.

TELEGRAPHIC BOSS.—Mr. RANDALL, of Pea, said: "Oh, boss." This very important dispatch will be found on our telegraphic column; and we hope none of our readers will neglect its perusal. The entire paragraph, of which the above is an extract, will convey a pretty good idea of the amenities of Congressional debate.

INCREASE OF BANK CAPITAL.—Since the publication of our article on this subject, in yesterday's issue, we have been informed that a project is on foot to organize another banking association in this city, with a cash capital of \$500,000, and power to increase to \$500,000. Parties elsewhere have promised that if \$50,000 or more be subscribed here they will subscribe the rest of the capital required. The plan meets with very general approval from our merchants and men of business who have keenly felt the great want of increased banking facilities, so necessary to the trade and prosperity of our city. The subscription list will soon be opened, when our citizens will have an opportunity of subscribing for the stock.

THE PANORAMA.—The wonders of Heaven still continue to draw large numbers. The angels are in the air, and the saints are in the clouds. The panorama is a very lovely place, but the Plains of Heaven are of the earth, earthy. We cannot help, when looking upon these scenes, thinking how much better off we would be if Eve had not transgressed. But, if the painting be correct, it was a great temptation, for they were splendid apples. Those that are familiar with the Book of Revelations will easily recognize the scenes depicted in the Apocalypse; and those whose religious education has been neglected, by listening to the lecturer, who is thoroughly *au fait* on the subject, can readily appreciate the skill of the artist.

VALUABLE EXPORTS.—Several large and valuable cargoes of cotton have been cleared at our Custom House during the week; among them we noticed the ship *Merus* loaded for Liverpool, by Messrs. WILKES & CO., with a cargo of 65 bales of Sea Island cotton and 2285 bales of upland cotton; the British bark *Queen*, by Messrs. B. MUMF & CO., with 899 bales Sea Island and 1472 bales upland cotton; the bark *Thames*, Captain DELANEY, for Liverpool, by Messrs. STREET BROS. & CO., with 801 bales Sea Island and 1909 bales upland cotton. This last cargo is considered one of the largest ever put in a ship of this size, and weighs 918,917 pounds. It was stored by a well-known colored stevedore, Joe Edmondson.

ROBERT BURNS.—ROBERT BURNS, the great Scottish poet, first saw the light on the 25th of January, 1739, in a small cottage near the Bridge of Doon, two miles from Ayr. Born in poverty and raised to a life of toil, which his poor spirit could not brook, his impudence soon terminated in early death.

It is singular that even in his obscurity Burns joyfully anticipated that his birthday would come to be noted among other remarkable events. He proved to be a true prophet, for on the last century his birth was celebrated in every land where the English tongue is spoken. His name is now like a household word, and there are few Scotchmen who do not speak of ROBERT BURNS with love and veneration.

"O'er poets, past death, have tablets erected to their memory; but his name is engraved on the heart, and his brother hearts of every continent are glad to be delighted to do him honor. His birth is thus beautifully described by THOMAS MILLER:

"Upon a stormy winter night,
Scotland's bright star first rose in sight,
Scanning up as with a sight,
As ever to the future's light,
Proclaiming that nature had on hand
Some work to glorify the land,
Within a lonely cot of clay,
That night her great creation lay."

MAXON'S COURT.—January 24.—Saffron Johnson, an interesting young man of dark complexion, the going name of whose name was Maxon, was brought up for stealing a board from one of the crew in the upper wards, and, in consequence, was treated by his Honor to five days' board in the Guard House, where he will have an opportunity of tasting the "sweet waters" of the city, and gratifying his palate with delightful morsels of the original bread fruit.

ABRAHAM HILL. a representative of the Georgia brother, who had arrived yesterday in search of his brother, but failed to find him, and having no money took lodgings in the street, where he was picked up by a policeman who was looking for him. He was taken to the station and held for his brother, and was then released. He was then taken to the station and held for his brother, and was then released.

WASHINGTON GRIFFITH. a man of glossy black, who had been to a tall and thin, two drinks and waltzed; and then took two more nips and settled a fight that was about occurring between three of "the ladies" in the room, which afforded him so much self-satisfaction that he went into the street and became "obstrepulous," from whence he was conveyed to the Guard House, and this morning fined \$5 for his "pleasures of a night."

DISTRICT COURT.—Judge LOAN, presiding.—The State vs. Jefferson Monzon and Sarah Monzon—Larocny. J. N. NATLANS, Esq., for the defense. Verdict guilty.

The State vs. Molly Alston—Assault and Battery. R. W. SEXTON, Esq., for the defense. Guilty.

The State vs. Isaac Whyte—Larocny. J. N. NATLANS, Esq., for the defense. This case was referred to the Court, who found the prisoner guilty.

The State vs. John Webb—Larocny. Stealing Cotton. —McKENNEY, Esq., for the defense. Verdict guilty.

The State vs. Michael Kennedy—Assault and Battery. R. W. SEXTON, Esq., for the defense. Not guilty.

This was a novel case. The prosecutor, Richard Devereux, being confined in the jail at the time that he brought the action against Mr. KENNEDY, the father's son (who assisted his father in the discharge of his duties), for violence used upon him. It appeared that Devereux had been ordered to a certain cell and had refused to obey Mr. KENNEDY, and on the order being reiterated caught his stick with one hand and seized him by the throat. Mr. KENNEDY, extracting himself, struck him a blow and forced him to obey. There were several witnesses examined, who proved that no violence was used, but such as the action of the prisoner demanded. Mr. SEXTON represented the defense, and the verdict was not guilty.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT.—In *Admiralty*—Hon. Geo. S. BAYAN, presiding Judge. The following decrees were pronounced:

Salas & Co. vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. Salas & Co.—Libel on breach of charter party. Same parties for defense and prosecution. On hearing the full evidence advanced, and the arguments made in the case, it is ordered that the defendants, SALAS & Co. pay to the libellant defendant, on twenty-seven days, at the rate of \$30 in currency, or \$20 in gold, twelve days being allowed for loading and unloading the ship—being allowed for that thirty-nine days was the time of her stay in port.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs. Schouler Ait.—Libel on a breach of charter party. CORNER & MAGRATH for the plaintiffs. CAMPBELL & SEABOARD for the respondents. Upon hearing the evidence and argument in this case, it is ordered and decreed that the libel be dissolved.

Mrs. Mary Hart, owner of the schooner Ait, vs. DeCosta & Madan vs